

REMARKS

As a result of the foregoing amendment, Claim 3 has been replaced by new claim 4. In claim 4, it is clear that the composition administered consists essentially of the compound having the structural formula number 1.

The reference relied on by the Examiner in no way suggests the recited method. In deed, the reference specifically teaches only the use of the compound carbocysteine in combination with sobrerol. There is absolutely no suggestion in this reference that either one of these disclosed compounds could or should be used alone, much less, that they would provide a method for controlling a respiratory disease. Consequently, the reference teaches away from the present invention as claimed and this rejection is untenable and should be withdrawn.

It is submitted this amendment places the application in condition for allowance and entry thereof and favorable reconsideration and prompt notice of allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 21, 2005

By


Jules E. Goldberg
Reg. No. 24,408
REED SMITH LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
29th Floor
New York, NY 10022-7650
(212) 521-5400

Attorney for Applicant